

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/054,180	HUANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Rojas	2874	

All Participants:

(1) Omar Rojas.

(2) Wei Te Chung.

Date of Interview: 6 January 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


In a previous telephone restriction requirement, claim 9 was restricted from examination. Mr. Chung agreed that claim 9 be cancelled due to the previous telephone restriction requirement, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)